Tetrahedron Letters 50 (2009) 2037-2040

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Tetrahedron** Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet



# Remarkable catalytic activity of silica nanoparticle in the bis-Michael addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated alkenes

Subhash Banerjee<sup>a,\*</sup>, Swadeshmukul Santra<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> NanoScience Technology Center, University of Central Florida, 12424 Research Parkway, Suite 400, Orlando, FL 32826, USA <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Central Florida, 4000 Central Florida Blvd., Orlando, FL 32816, USA <sup>c</sup> Biomolecular Science Center, University of Central Florida College of Medicine, 4000 Central Florida Blvd., Orlando, FL 32816, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 December 2008 Revised 29 January 2009 Accepted 30 January 2009 Available online 5 February 2009

Keywords: Silica nanoparticle Catalysis Conjugate addition **Bis-adducts** Reuse of catalyst

#### ABSTRACT

We have demonstrated the remarkable catalytic activity of silica nanoparticles (NPs) in the unusual bis-Michael addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated alkenes at room temperature. The catalyst silica NPs were reused up to seven runs without appreciable loss of catalytic activity. © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In recent years, nanoparticles (NPs) have attracted tremendous attention in catalysis because of their improved efficiency under mild and environmentally benign conditions in the context of 'Green' synthesis.<sup>1,2</sup> Due to their enormously large and highly reactive surface area, NPs have potential to exhibit superior catalytic activity in comparison to bulk counterparts.<sup>3</sup> Commonly used metallic/bi-metallic nanocatalysts<sup>4-8</sup> are expensive and toxic. Moreover, unsupported nanoparticles are usually unstable, and the coagulation of the nanoparticles during reaction is frequently unavoidable.9 Thus, until now, the investigation of native nanoparticles as catalysts has been rare, although it is an important tool to gain a fundamental understanding of catalysis.<sup>10</sup> Recently, we have reported a native silica NPs catalyzed anti-Markovnikov addition of thiols to alkenes and alkynes.<sup>11</sup> This observation motivated us to explore the use of silica NPs in useful synthetic reactions, such as carbon-carbon (C-C) bond formation.

The Michael addition is one of the most useful C-C bond-forming reactions with wide synthetic applications in organic synthesis.<sup>12,13</sup> This reaction is conventionally catalyzed by strong bases that often lead to undesirable side reactions.<sup>14</sup> A number of reagents<sup>15–23</sup> have been developed for the mono-Michael addition reaction. To date, a few reagents, such as ruthenium complex<sup>24</sup> and basic ionic liquid,<sup>25,26</sup> have been reported for the bis-Michael addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated carboxylic

activity of native silica NPs in a Michael addition. Unexpectedly, we observed remarkable catalytic activity of native silica NPs in an unusual bis-Michael addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated ketones, carboxylic esters, and nitriles (Scheme 1). Interestingly, no mono addition product was obtained in all the cases studied herein.

Silica NPs were synthesized using the well-established Stober method<sup>27</sup> that involved basic hydrolysis and condensation reaction



X = COMe, COOMe, CN etc



Corresponding authors. Tel.: +1 407 882 2848; fax: +1 407 882 2819 (S.B.).

E-mail addresses: subanerj@mail.ucf.edu (S. Banerjee), ssantra@mail.ucf.edu (S. Santra).

esters and nitriles. Moreover, these reagents failed to initiate the bis-Micheal addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated ketones even at elevated temperature. Thus, there is a demand for the development of a milder reagent for a general bis-Michael addition of active methylene compounds to  $\alpha,\beta$ - unsaturated ketones, esters, and nitriles in a single step. In this study, we are highly motivated to evaluate the catalytic

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.01.154



Figure 1. TEM image of silica NPs (size  $\sim$  50 nm).



Optimization of reaction conditions



| Entry | Reaction medium               | Catalyst (mol %) | Time (h) | Yield <sup>a</sup> (%) |
|-------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|------------------------|
| 1     | $H_2O^b$                      | 10               | 2        | 95                     |
| 2     | H <sub>2</sub> O <sup>b</sup> | 0                | 24       | 0                      |
| 3     | Neat                          | 10               | 6        | 70                     |
| 4     | Ethanol                       | 10               | 8        | 77                     |
| 5     | THF                           | 10               | 12       | 25                     |
| 6     | Toluene                       | 10               | 12       | 20                     |

Silica NP catalyzed Michael addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated alkenes

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yield.

Table 2

 $^{\rm b}$  Reactions were carried out in 0.5 ml H<sub>2</sub>O.

of tetraethoxyorthosilane (TEOS) in 1:1 (V/V) water–ethanol mixture at room temperature. Silica NPs of different sizes were synthesized by simply adjusting the water to ethanol ratio. The silica NPs were characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 1). The catalytic activity of these silica NPs has been tested in the Michael addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated alkenes.

Initially, we selected the Michael addition of malononitrile to methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) as a model reaction for investigation of different reaction parameters. The results are summarized in Table 1. We have observed that only 10 wt % of silica NPs were sufficient to push the reaction forward. In absence of catalyst, the Michael addition reaction did not progress at all. The general experimental procedure<sup>29</sup> for the bis-Michael addition reaction is given below.

We have also screened effect of different solvents with varying polarity and protic nature. It was observed that water proved to be the best choice for the Michael addition reaction over any organic solvents such as tetrahydrofuran and toluene. It was also observed that the rate of the Michael addition reaction increased with decreasing particle size. We have used  $\sim$ 50 nm silica NP for all reactions.

To explore the generality and scope of the bis-Michael addition reaction, the reaction of various active methylene compounds and conjugated alkenes was carried out under optimized conditions. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Interestingly, all open-chain and cyclic active methylene compounds (with two active  $\alpha$ -C–H) such as a ethyl acetoacetate, diethyl malonate, ethyl cyanoacetate, 5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadione, and malononitrile participated in bis-Michael additions with MVK, methyl acrylate, and acrylonitrile to produce the corresponding bis-adducts exclusively in high yields. These products are very useful synthons, two representative examples of conversion of these adducts to annulated bicyclic and tricyclic conjugated ketones are shown below (Eqs. 1 and 2).



| 5     | \$                                       | 1 50              |  |          |                        |      |
|-------|--|-------------------|--|----------|------------------------|------|
| Entry | Active methylene compound                | Conjugated alkene | Product  | Time (h) | Yield <sup>a</sup> (%) | Ref. |
| 1     | MeOC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C               | Me                | MeOC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> COMe                                  | 3.5      | 85                     | 28a  |
| 2     | MeOC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C               | OMe               | MeOC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> Me                    | 6.0      | 78                     | 26   |
| 3     | MeOC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C               | CN                | MeOC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C<br>CN                               | 3.5      | 82                     | 28b  |
| 4     | EtO <sub>2</sub> C<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C | OMe               | EtO <sub>2</sub> C<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C<br>CO <sub>2</sub> Me | 6.0      | 81                     | 26   |
| 5     | EtO <sub>2</sub> C<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C | CN                | EtO <sub>2</sub> C<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C CN                    | 4.0      | 86                     | 28c  |
|       |  |                   |  |          |                        |      |

| Entry | Active methylene compound | Conjugated alkene | Product  | Time (h) | Yield <sup>a</sup> (%) | Ref. |
|-------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|----------|------------------------|------|
| 6     |                           | Me                | NC COMe  | 4.0      | 85                     | 28d  |
| 7     | NC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C  | OMe               | NC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C<br>CO <sub>2</sub> Me | 5.0      | 80                     | 26   |
| 8     | NC<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C  | CN                | NC CN<br>EtO <sub>2</sub> C CN                 | 4.5      | 79                     | 26   |
| 9     |                           | Me                | NC COMe  | 2.0      | 95                     | 26   |
| 10    |                           | OMe               | NC CO <sub>2</sub> Me                          | 2.5      | 92                     | 26   |
| 11    |                           | CN                |  | 2.5      | 94                     | 26   |
| 12    | O<br>↓ CO₂Et              | Me                | CO <sub>2</sub> Et<br>COMe                     | 3.0      | 90                     | 26   |
| 13    | O<br>→CO₂Et               | OMe               | CO <sub>2</sub> Et<br>CO <sub>2</sub> Me       | 5.0      | 85                     | 26   |
| 14    | O<br>CO₂Et                | CN                |  | 4.5      | 87                     | 26   |
| 15    |                           | Me                | COMe<br>COMe                                   | 3.0      | 90                     | 28f  |
| 16    | 0                         | OMe               | O<br>CO <sub>2</sub> Me<br>CO <sub>2</sub> Me  | 4.0      | 85                     | 28g  |
| 17    |                           | CN                |  | 4.0      | 90                     | 28g  |

<sup>a</sup> Yields refer to those of pure isolated products characterized by spectroscopic data.



Reagents (a): Pyrrolidine and acetic acid in ethyl acetate.

The use of 1 equiv (or less) of conjugated alkene in these reactions did not produce any monoaddition product, only the bis-adducts were isolated in proportionate yields. The formation of bisadducts in one step with methyl acrylate, and acrylonitrile was reported previously in two procedures.<sup>24–26</sup> However, these reagents are not applicable as they failed to initiate the bis-Michael addition of conjugated ketones even at elevated temperatures. Other restrictions involved long reaction times (48–96 h) at 50–70  $^\circ\text{C}^{24}$ and low isolated yields (24-89%). Interestingly, the silica NPs



Figure 2. Recycle of the catalyst.



Scheme 2. Plausible mechanism for silica NP catalyzed bis-Michael addition.

efficiently catalyzed the bis-addition of MVK, methyl acrylate and acrylonitrile within a reasonable time period (2–6 h) at room temperature with high yields (78–95%). This observation is highly significant in the context of establishing a simplified method of forming two C–C bonds in a single step. We anticipate that these adducts, containing important functional groups (e.g., ketones, esters, and nitriles) will find wide-spread synthetic applications. We have demonstrated a two-step synthesis of 10-hydroxy-8,8-dimethyl-tricyclo[8.4.0.01,6]tetradec-5-ene-4,12-dione, (Eq. 2) form 5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadione, in which a bis-Michael addition was the key step.

To evaluate the stability of the catalytic activity and the potential for recycling, we completed several catalytic cycles. In each cycle, the reaction mixture was centrifuged, and the NPs were washed with ethanol and dried under vacuum to remove the residual solvent. The particulate nature of the used catalyst was confirmed by TEM. The catalyst could be reused for seven times with a minimal loss of activity (Fig. 2).

The reason for this unusual behavior of the silica NP toward bis-Michael addition is unknown at this stage. We believe that silica surface chemistry plays an important role in this reaction. At neutral pH, silica NPs are present in partly de-protonated, providing both the -Si-OH and  $-Si-O^-$  groups on the NP surface. The -Si-OH group stabilizes the enol form of active methylene compounds and polarizes the conjugated alkene via H-bonding with carbonyl oxygen. The Si-O<sup>-</sup> promotes the nucleophilic attack of the enolized active methylene compound (Scheme 2).

In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated remarkable catalytic activity of silica NPs in the Michael addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated alkenes under neutral reaction conditions. In particular, we were able to develop a robust protocol for the bis-addition of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ketones with active methylene compounds in one step. The present synthesis strategy is simple and straight-forward, and catalyst silica NP is stable, easy to synthesize, and reusable. Certainly, this observation provides great promise toward additional useful applications.

## Acknowledgments

This work was partly supported by National Science Foundation (NSF CBET-63016011 and NSF-NIRT Grant EEC-056560). We are also pleased to acknowledge Dr. S. Biswas.

#### **References and notes**

- 1. Astruc, D.; Lu, F.; Aranzaes, J. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7852-7872.
- 2. Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3009-3066.

- 3. Lewis, L. N. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2693-2730.
- 4. Bhadra, S.; Saha, A.; Ranu, B. C. Green Chem. 2008, 10, 1224-1230.
- Chauhan, B. P. S.; Rathore, J. S.; Glloxhani, N. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 19, 542–550.
- 6. Hu, J.; Liu, Y. B. Langmuir 2005, 21, 2121-2123.
- 7. Lim, D. C.; Lopez-Salido, I.; Kim, Y. D. Surf. Sci. 2005, 598, 96-103.
- Park, J. H.; Kim, E.; Kim, H. M.; Choi, S. Y.; Chung, Y. K. Chem. Commun. 2008, 2388–2390.
- Rao, C. N. R.; Muller, A.; Cheetham, A. K.. In *The Chemistry of Nanomaterials:* Synthesis and Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004; Vol. 1.
- Abdelsayed, V.; Alsharaeh, E.; El-Shall, M. S. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 19100– 19103.
- 11. Banerjee, S.; Das, J.; Santra, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 124-127.
- 12. Jung, M. E. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; 1991; Pergamon: Oxford; Vol. 4.
- 13. Perlmutter, P. Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Pergamon: Oxford, 1992.
- 14. Kobayashi, S. Synlett 1994, 689-701.
- 15. Bensa, D.; Constantieux, T.; Rodriguez, J. Synthesis-Stuttgart 2004, 923–927.
- 16. Christoffers, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 1259-1266.
- Dell'Anna, M. M.; Gallo, V.; Mastrorilli, P.; Nobile, C. F.; Romanazzi, G.; Suranna, G. P. Chem. Commun. 2002, 434–435.
- 18. Kim, D. Y.; Huh, S. C.; Kim, S. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 6299-6301.
- 19. Ranu, B. C.; Saha, M.; Bhar, S. Synth. Commun. 1997, 27, 621–626.
- Shimizu, K.; Miyagi, M.; Kan-no, T.; Kodama, T.; Kitayama, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 7421–7424.
- Srivastava, N.; Banik, B. K. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 2109–2114.
  Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Baishya, G.; Narsaiah, A. V. Chem. Lett. 2005, 34, 102–
- 103.
- 23. Zhang, Z.; Dong, Y. W.; Wang, G. W.; Komatsu, K. Synlett 2004, 61-64.
- 24. Alvarez, S. G.; Hasegawa, S.; Hirano, M.; Komiya, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39,
- 5209–5212.
- 25. Ranu, B. C.; Banerjee, S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3049-3052.
- 26. Ranu, B. C.; Banerjee, S.; Jana, R. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 776–782.
- 27. Stöber, W.; Fink, A.; Bohn, E. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1968, 26, 62-69.
- (a) Bergmann, E. D.; Corett, R. J. Org. Chem. **1956**, 21, 107-110; (b) Hou, Z.; Koizumi, T.; Fujita, A.; Yamazaki, H.; Wakatsuki, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 5812-5813; (c) Volcho, K. P.; Kurbakova, S. Yu.; Korchagina, D. V.; Suslov, E. V.; Salakhutdinov, N. F.; Toktarev, A. V.; Echevskii, G. V.; Barkhash, V. A. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. **2003**, 195, 263-274; (d) Tu, T.; Assenmacher, W.; Peterlik, H.; Weisbarth, R.; Nieger, M.; Doetz, K. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2007**, 46, 6368-6371; (e) Henecka, H. Chem. Ber. **1948**, 81, 197-209; (f) Houbrechts, Y.; Laszlo, P.; Pennetreau, P. Tetrahedron Lett. **1986**, 27, 705-706; (g) Nazarov, I. N.; Zavyalov, S. I. Zh. Obshch. Khim. **1955**, 25, 477-483.
- 29. General experimental procedure for the silica nanoparticle catalyzed Michael addition of active methylene compounds to conjugated alkenes: Representative example for the Michael addition of malononirile to methyl vinyl ketone (Table 2, entry 9): Methyl vinyl ketone (140.18 mg, 2 mmol) was added to a mixture of malononitrile (66 mg, 1 mmol) and silica NP (~5 mg, 10 wt %) in water (0.5 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h until completion of reaction (TLC). Ethyl acetate (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and was stirred for 5 min. The reaction mixture was centrifuged, precipitate catalyst was washed with ethanol and finally dried for subsequent runs. The organic layer was separated by separating funnel, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated the solvent to leave crude product, which was purified by short column chromatography over silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:9) to provide pure bis-Michael adduct, 2,2-bis-(3-oxo-butyl)-malononitrile as brownish solid in excellent yield (195 mg, 95%). The product was characterized by its IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic data, and was compared with reported<sup>28a</sup> one.